

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px auto; width: 150px;"> SEP 19 2014 </div>	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PATRICK S. DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

3:13-cv-00559-MMD-WGC

ORDER

v.

NEVADA, STATE OF, et. al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Patrick S. Davis filed his complaint on October 4, 2013. (Doc. # 1.)¹ Defendants filed a motion to dismiss on November 6, 2013. (Doc. # 6.) Plaintiff filed a response to the motion to dismiss on November 26, 2013. (Doc. # 8.) A reply in support of the motion was filed by Defendants on December 4, 2013. (Doc. # 9.) The Defendants subsequently filed a motion for protective order to stay discovery (Doc. # 10) and Plaintiff filed a motion for a discovery plan and scheduling order (Doc. # 15).

The court undertook a "preliminary peek" of Defendants' motion and determined that a discovery stay pending resolution of the motion to dismiss was warranted and granted Defendants' motion to stay discovery in this respect. (Doc. # 21.) Discovery was stayed for a period of ninety days, or until the motion to dismiss was resolved, whichever occurred first. (*Id.*) Consequently, Plaintiff's motion for a discovery plan and scheduling order was denied without prejudice. (Doc. # 22.)

With no resolution of the motion to dismiss at the expiration of the ninety days, the court set the matter for a status conference. (*See* Doc. # 23.) A status conference was held on June 23, 2014, and the parties agreed the discovery stay should continue in place pending resolution of Defendants' motion to dismiss. (*See* Doc. # 38.) Thus, the discovery stay was extended to

¹ Refers to court's docket number.

1 September 19, 2014, or until the motion to dismiss was resolved, whichever occurred first. (Doc.
2 # 37.)

3 As of September 19, 2014, Defendants' motion to dismiss has not been resolved;
4 therefore, good cause exists to extend the stay of discovery for an additional **ninety days, up to**
5 **and including December 18, 2014 OR until the motion to dismiss is resolved, whichever**
6 **occurs first.** If the motion has not been resolved by December 18, 2014, the court will enter
7 further orders as it deems necessary.

8
9 DATED: September 19, 2014.

William G. Cobb

WILLIAM G. COBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE